racterize his acts as to whether the acts were ractional.

y the Court—Yesterday the prosecution admitted so questions to be asked. I think the rule should be leas stated by Mr. Graham; at the same time, I think the witness has a right to answer the question, as I too know what the balance of it would be,
Mr. Davis—Is it too late to object to the question, as I too know what the balance of it would be,
Mr. Davis—Is it too late to object to the question.

What judgment did you form at that time from what observed as to his rationality or irrationality. A. I did not be willing to state that he appeared to be on bordering line.

How long did that condition last. A. He was so ays: every time I saw him, which was at intervals, mig a period of six or seven months; probably as y as four times, probably not more than six. His samance was the same at each time.

emarkably nervous; there was a strange ap-he was very intent and absorbed in what was Very much interested in the proceedings ! A. Yes Q. Did he ask any questions? A. He seemed to be on he point of it a great many times; and was repressed by as counsel with some difficulty.
Q. You had never met him before? A. No, Sir.
Q. The result of this proceeding was that he took this title boy and the mother retained the other child? A. You Sir.

Mr. Edward K. Phillips was next called, and examined

this habeas corpus proceeding by Mr. McFarland; I saw him present in Court at different times. but perhaps 20 or 25 times, etween what period † A. My impression is that it tween the last of March or first of April, and it ran that time until September or October. o you remember the last time you saw him † A. I saw him in New-York as late as November, but small with him.

eak with him.
you observe his appearance on those several
A. I did. ast A. I did. if you describe it to the jury? A. The first time I like Farland was on the occasion of the first hear-the final time when the case was decided; then very much agitated, and in fact his feelings over-

ruian.

cas his manner on that occasion! A. I never
be overcome; it was very painful indeed; these
as took place in June. I think.

impression was created on your mind as to
seeing rational or irrational? A. I formed a
copinion that his state of mind was very

TESTIMONY OF DR. JOHN A. WARD. next witness called was Dr. John A. Ward Hhis ination was conducted by Mr. Graham. n a physician, and have been for the last 15 years in

patient of mine for a long time; I also know his wife very well; have known her since I knew him.

Q. Did you see Daniel McFarland on the night of the
24th of November, 1869, the night before this alleged

Q. Where did you see him, Doctor? A. He came into yoffice about 7 o'clock in the evening, and remained

ne; I told him to take one when he got home—on bed—and if that did not relieve him, to take the sing to bed—and it below the course of an hour.

Q. How many drops of laudanum is a grain of mortium equal to f A. 100 drops; it would make more than

ul.
ou gave him the equivalent of 200 drops of and told him to take it within an hour? A. and anum and told him to take it within an hour of signs, sir; if he was not relieved.

Q. Did you feel his pulse on that occasion? A. He put sut his hand to me, and it was shaking so that I laid it on he table; the pulse was running on 120.

Q. What was the nominal pulse? About 25.

Q. Did he make any allusion to a night of horrors? A. I do not think that he did at that time; he did on several

wife in his dreams, associating her with Richardson? A. Not at that time.

Q. Did he say anything about his having heard that Richardson was making arrangements to take his wife away? A. He said: "Richardson is going to take my child away: I must have it; I cannot hive without it."

Q. You, Sir, are a physician. Have you studied mental alienation? A. Not as an expert.

Q. What is your judgment on that occasion, from what you saw, as to the rationality or irrationality of Mr. McFarland? A. I formed the impression that his mind was diseased, and that he was irrational.

Q. When did you first commence attending his family? A. I commenced attending his family in June, 1863, but I never had occasion to prescribe for Mr. McFarland until the Spring of 1867.

Q. Was he fond of his wife! A. He was very fond of his wife.

his wife.
Q. And how did she appear to feel with referenbe to him! A. She appeared to be very fond of him also.
Q. Did you ever know of any difficulty between them before 1807? A. No. Sir.
Q. What house have you known them to live in! A. They lived in 1865 at the house of Mr. Samuel Sinciair, at

Croton.
Q. How long did they live in Mr. Sinclair's house! A.
All Winter, until Spring.
Q. Were you the medical attendant upon Mr. Sinclair's
family! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Do you know when Mrs. McFarland became acquainted with Mr. Richardson! A. It was in the Fall of

Q. Do you know where † A. H. was at one of Mr. Sin-Q. Do you know where ! A. R was at one of Mr. Sin-ciair's receptions in Twenty-third-st.
Q. Were you present! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Have you been present at any other reception at Mr. Smclair's when Mr. Richardson and Mrs. McFarland met! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. When! A. In the Spring of '6s, Q. Where was that! A. At Mr. Sinclair's house in For-y-fifth-si.

Q. On any other occasion! A. When he lived in West Q. On any other occasion! A. When he lived in West Washington-place, I saw him there occasionally.
Q. And what time was that! A. In December of 1866.
Q. Was the prisoner present on any of these occasions!
I think not.
Q. Did you see the introduction that took place between tr. Richardson and Mrs. McFarland! A. I do not resember that I did.
Q. How did you make Mr. Bishardson's accountages.

nember that I did. Q. How did you make Mr. Richardson's acquaintance !

Q. Now, did you see Mr. McFarland about the month of April, 1807 † A. I did, Sir; he called at my office in Nineteenth-st; Mr. McFarland came into my office with a great deal of nervous agitation, and asked me if I had heard of his wife's desertion; I said I had; he said there was no occasion for it; I said I was very much surprised to hear it; that I had thought they had always lived happily together.

Q. What was his manner on that occasion † A. He was

gitated condition, his pulse was running at 110; was hot; his face flushed, and he had suffused wild eye; there was considerable tremor about

Was ne able to sit still 1 A. No, Sir; he was moving ontinually about; the skin was rather hot.

Q. Were there any indications of liquor about him? A.

Q. Were there any lameatons of the second of the brain in the coasion ! A. I thought he was under a state of great excitement, and considered his case congestion of the brain; he told me that he had not elept for three weeks since his wife left him.

Q. And as to his ability to eat, what did he say! A. He said that he had no appetite; I saw him again two or three days afterward and prescribed remedies to quiet his system.

Q. Did he speak to you about walking all hight 1; A.

he had dreams at night, when he saw his children.
Q. Did he say anything as to dreaming that Richardson and his wife were together? A. Yes, Sir; he said it drove him almost to madness.
Q. Did he say anything about jumping out of bed. A. He said that he would spring out of bed and find himself in a cold perspiration, and that he would go back to bed to repeat it sometimes 20 times a night.
Q. Did he say anything about dreaming of clutching Richardson by the throat. A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Did he say anything about how much merphine he was taking. A. He said he was taking 40 grains of opinm.

them.

Q. Would you have prescribed a grain of morphine unless he was under great excitement. A. No, Sir; I would not dared to have given it to a man.

Q. If he had been only ordinarily excited what would have been your prescription? A. I should not have given morphine at all; I should have given beladonna.

Q. In what cases do you administer morphine? A. I rarely use it.

Q. Does it act on the constitution? A. It does.

Q. In what way does it affect it? A. First by exhilarating it and then depressing it.

Q. And you only resort to it in extreme cases? A. In extreme cases.

Q. Did the symptoms of this man as they exhibited

Q. And you only resort to it in extreme cases! A. In extreme cases.
Q. Did the symptoms of this man as they exhibited themselves to you justify you in using it? A. Yes, Sir.
Q. He could not have counterfeited his symptoms so that you would have been imposed upon? A. His quickened pulse verified everything.
Q. Can you remember an occasion between the Spring of 1889 and the month of November when these symptoms were not on him? A. Never.
Q. Could it be possible that these symptoms could continue much longer and the man live? A. No, Sir.
Q. On any of those occasions, was a Dr. Minor in your company? A. Yes, Sir.
Q. What judgment did you and he form, professioually, with reference to the condition of this man? A. I do not think that Dr. Minor saw him until last Fall.
Q. What judgment did you form? A. It was early in September that Dr. Minor first saw him. The result of my examination was that he had congestion of the brain. Cross-examination.—Q. Are you connected with McFarland! A. Yes, his brother and my brother married sisters.
Q. Is your wife connected with him? A. In no way.

disease.
Q. What business was he engaged inf A. He was in the Assessor's office; I do not recollect the particular department.
Q. Do you not know that he had charge of the Department of Legacies and Successions I A. I do not know it.
Q. Do you know that he was all this time in the discharge of his duties I A. I do not know that he was.
Q. Could he with his pulse at 120, and in the state he was in with sleepless nights have discharged the duties of his office I A. If he did it must have been very imperfectly.

of his office I A. It he did discharge those duties satisfectly.

Q. Assuming that he did discharge those duties satisfactorily, would you still think he was in as bad a condition as you then thought? A. I think he was not in as bad a condition as he is now.

Q. You have observed him in Court? A. I have not examined him.

Q. Have you not seen his intense interest in all that is

counself A. I have not.

Q. Yet you say he is now in a worse condition as regards his organic disease! A. Yes, I do.

Q. He always gave you a correct statement of the symptoms of his disease; you found it agree with your own observations of him? A. Yes.

Q. Now in respect to his not sleeping, you have no personal knowledge of the fact beyond his own statements?

A. None, save that his own appearance denoted insomnia.

Q. Now why did you take no steps to have him taken to an asylum? A. It was not my place; he had a brother.

to an asylumi A. It was not my place; he had a brother.

Re-direct.—Q. When was it his pulse beat 130 f A. About the middle of September.

Q. Could he, in your opinion, have been a reliable business man between April, 1867, and November, 1868 f A. He could not.

Q. Is it possible that, by a change of circumstances, the illness—congestion—could be diminished f A. Yes.

Q. From the 18th to the 25th of November, did you see him every night f A. I think so; sometimes twice a day.

Q. Do you remember seeing him on the 23d of November; A. Yes.

Q. Where f A. At the St. James; it was about 9 o'clock Q. Do you remember seeing man of the ber! A. Yes.
Q. Where! A. At the St. James; it was about 9 o'clock in the evening.
Q. Had he been at your office! A. Yes, and had followed on after me; he said he came to get something to quiet him; he said he had been walking the streets all that day, and part of the night before; he was in extreme excitement; his excitement was hightened on the night of the 24th.

of the 24th.

Q. Did he tell you how he felt 1 A. Yes.

Q. You judged from his statement and appearance that
he told the truth 1 A. I did.

[The examination of this witness was here concluded.] DR. MAURICE MATTSON was then examined.

Q. Where do you reside ! A. Seventy-four University Q. How long have you been a physician? A. About a quarter of a century.
Q. Are you a graduate of the College of New-York? A.

Q. Do you practice now ! A. No. Q. How long did you practice ! A. Fifteen or twenty

Q. Did you know McFarland ! A. Very well; he was a patient of mine in Boston in 1847, which I know by an old

ledger; I knew him in Boston, till he disappeared from Boston and went to Dartmouth College, and afterward

Boston and well to France.

Q. Did you see him on the night of the 25th of November! A. Yes, at my residence, No. 25 West Twenty-

O. Indy ou see him on the highest of the extra of November ! A. Yes, at my residence, No. 25 West Twentyninth-st.

Q. When was the last time you saw him before that night! A. A week or two before.

Q. What time that evening did you see him! A. About 7 o clock.

Q. What took place on that occasion! A. He came to tell me that Rienardson was selling his property, and was about to go West to the State where he had helped his wife to get a divorce, and that they were going to take his boy Danny with them.

Q. Describe his appearance then! A. Physically he was more quiet; there was less twitching, but his mental state was nevertheless evidently far more intensely disturbed than I had ever before noticed it.

Q. In what way did that evince itself! A. By a wild expression in the eye; all he said indicated an intense excitement.

Q. How long was he with you on that occasion! A. About one hour.

Q. Did he say anything in reference to losing con-

Q. How long was he with you on that occasion? A. About one hour.
Q. Did he say anything in reference to losing consciousness? A. He did.
Q. What? A. He said, "I lose consciousness sometimes of my own identity; I think I am not McFarland at all; I have examined the papers in my pocket, and would conclude that I was McFarland, sure enough, after, all."

would conclude that I was after all."

Q. When he spoke of Richardson going away with his wife and boy, what did he say! A. He said, "My God, doctor, he is about to go West and take with him my little boy, where I may never see him again;" he looked upward and raised his hands like one in the attitude of

weeks since his wife left him.

Q. And as to his ability to eat, what did he say † A. He said that he had no appetite; I saw him again two or three days afterward and prescribed remedies to quite his system.

Q. Did he at either of the visits you have spoken of say anything about his dreams! A. He said he had dreamvisions at night of his wife and child, and he must have them back; he could not live without them; that he would die in that state.

Q. When he spoke of his inability to sleep did you prescribe any spirits! A. No. Sir. I think he said he would take some hiquor at one time for the purpose of making im sleep; but that he had given it up. I saw him a great many times during that period. During the Summer of V. I did not see him very frequently, he was engaged in its suit; I should think I saw him as many as twenty times; he had always that same agitation, same restless manner, that quick pulse, and the pupil was contracted hiowing evidence of diseased action in the brain—conges—

talk to him about his domestic troubles? A. Yes; he would talk well enough on anything else.

Q. Did he in these conversations refer to his bey Danny? A. Always; he seemed to have an intense affection for the boy Danny; I think the loss of him disturbed his mind more than anything else.

Cross-examination—Q. Did you ever hear of his being connected with a Fourierite establishment? A. Never.

Q. What was his business? A. In Boston, I think mechanical business.

Q. Had you any intimacy with him there? A. I boarded in the same house; we were pretty intimate.

Q. How long do you know of his living in Boston? A. About a year or two before 1817; he went to France.

Q. Were you with him? A. No; but he went there from Dartmouth College, I think.

Q. How do you know he went to Dartmouth College?

A. I know it by common report; his friends all knew of his whereabouts.

Q. When did you first meet him in New-York! A. Two

Suits.

Q. Have you ever been at his house! A. Never.
Q. On the night of the 24th there was, you say, less of
that tremor and quiver! A. Yes.
Q. He came into your house! A. Yes.
Q. Was there any one else present! A. No.
Q. Did he sit down! A. Yes.
Q. How long did he remain! A. About an hour.
Q. Did he engage in general conversation! A. Not
that time.

Q. Did he engage in general conversation † A. Not that time.
Q. You say he was in a quieter condition than usual † A. Physically, yes; but his mental disturbance was greater than I had seen it for years.
Q. Have you told all he said † A. No, Sir.
Q. Can you tell anything more he said † A. Yes; but perhaps you would not want me to.
Q. Did he tell you where, when, or how Richardson was to sell his property † A. No.
Q. Did he tell you he had been to Jersey † A. He said he had been over to see the house his wife lived in with the mother of Mrs. Calhoun; that he expected to send his little boy there, and would take possession of him; he was disguised.
Q. Did he see the boy † A. He said he saw him brought there, but that he was taken away.
Q. Whose house was this in Jersey † A. Mrs. Gilbert's.
Q. Did he tell you in the month of Angust that he had been to the same house † A. He never said he went to the house, only that he watched it.
Q. Did he in August tell you that Richardson was selling his property † A. No; he said that in November on the night of the 24th.
Q. Did he ever ask you for any prescription. A. No.
Q. Did you make any professional examination of him † A. Never.
Q. You judged of his condition from his conversation

ber.
Q. Did he tell you he meant to take the boy Danny if he saw him! A. I remember he told me he had spent \$3,000 to get his boy Percy; and had no money to get possession of the other boy with and that he would take possession of him if he could see him.
[The examination of this witness was here concluded.] JOHN B. ELWOOD

was then called to the stand.

Q. What is your profession † A. Attorney-at-law.

Q. In this city † A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Where do you reside! A. At the Park Hotel, cor ner of Beekman and Nassau-sts., since last October. and Broadway.

Q. How far is the Park from THE TRIBUNE office ! A.

Q. Do you know McFarland! A. Quite well; since the Fall of 1867.
Q. Do you remember when you last saw him previous to this occurrence! A. The Monday or Tuesday preceding; the 22d or 23d of November.
Q. Where did you see him! A. At my office.
Q. Describe his appearance! A. He appeared as I had frequently seen him—quite excited about this matter; I had a short conversation with him; his manner always on speaking of his domestic matters as he would continue in conversation grew furious. His excitement would increase till it finally culminated in a state of frenzy. I cannot state about that occasion particularly.
Q. Did you then have any conversation with him!
A. I presume I had, but he was in fay office so often, I can't distinguish now between one conversation and another.

of get a divorce; he had not head of sea divorce; he had not head of sea of think.

Q. Did his manner impress you as to rationality or irrationality! A. I cannot say I discovered any evidences of any insanity upon any subject but that of his family troubles; on these he would get into a monomaniacal state, certainly.

Q. Would it culminate in tears! A. Yes, Sir.

Q. And then! A. When he came to speak of Richardson, he would become frenzied, often uncontrollable; he evinced great feeling for his wife and children.

Q. What time that day did he call! A. About mid-

G. He went to the noter after you had closed your office? A. Yes.

Q. By whom was he first introduced to you? A. By Mr. LeBarron.

Q. When? A. In 1807.

Q. Did he call to see you professionally? A. Yes.

Q. Relative to his habeas corpus suit? A. No; in regard to commencing a suit against Richardson.

Q. What was the nature of that action—how many counts had it? A. Three, Sir.

Q. What were they? A. First, for criminal conversation with his wife; second, for abducting his wife; and, third, for abducting his infant son Danny.

Q. State what conversation you had with him. A. He told me the history of his trouble—the manner in which he and his wife had lived together, which he said was always pleasant.

Q. This was in August, 1867? A. Yes; he said they were exceedingly fond of each other—never separated without her kissing him as he went out; how, in the evening, they would walk together in the garden picking flowers; he said even the servants had observed how happily they lived together; he said they always addressed each other as "My darling" and "My dear."

Q. What did he say about her leaving him? A. He said it was after Richardson had become acquainted with them, and that he had been the means of getting her away by his machinations; he told me the manner in which Danny was taken from him—how his wife kissed

him in the morning with her arms round his neck, and how, when he came back, he found the child was taken away.

Q. How often were these conversations with you repeated! He was in my office two or three times a week, and would go over the same thing over and over again, as often as I would let him; I got tired of it, and had business to attend to.

Q. What was his appearance at such times! A. I could not discover but that he was sane as any man when talking on other subjects; sometimes he would come into the office excited, sometimes calm; but as he conversed he would invariably cry, and when Richardson's name came up he would become furiously angry and indignant.

Q. What was the impression on your mind as to his rationality or irrationality I. A. I think he was unreasonable as far as this subject was concerned, reasonable on all others; he thought upon the subject too much; he was annoyed that the press favored Richardson so much, because he belonged to it; I told him to be caim, that other people would look upon a matter of this kind with different eyes, but it was no use.

Q. Did you notice any change in his manner from the time you first saw him to the last! A. Yes, on some occasions he would be more excitable than at others.

Q. Did you hear him in the Fall of 1869 express his determination to get back his boy Danny! A. He often spoke of it; he told me he had been to Jersey watching for his child, stopped at a neighboring house, and was trying to get the boy if he could; this was in August or September, 1869; I do not remember the name of the house he was watching.

Q. Do you remember his showing you these letters and saying where he got them!

(Eleven letters were here shown the witness, and identified by him as letters previously shown him by McFarland.)

A. Yes, he said in his wife's trunk; he was much excited about them.

and.]

A. Yes, he said in his wife's trunk; he was much excited about them.

A. Yes, he said in his wife's trunk; he was much excited about them.

Cross-examination—Q. You say you first saw the prisoner in 1867 † A. Yes.

Q. How long after that was it you saw the letters † A.

A very few days after.

Q. Was he on this occasion as much excited as usual †

A. He was furiously indigmant, though I have seen him when he exhibited more rage.

Q. When did you commence the suit for him † A. I issued the summons as early as December.

Q. And commenced delivery † A. In February, 1868.

Q. How often was he at your place before the suit commenced † A. About half a dozen times.

Q. Had you any doubt as to his sanity when you commenced this suit † A. Only as I have described.

Q. How long have you been practicing law † A. About 15 years.

Q. Were you acquainted with Richardson † A. No.

Q. How long have you been practicing law! A. About 15 years.
Q. Were you acquainted with Richardson! A. No.
Q. Did you ever see him! A. No.
Q. Had you any acquaintance with Mrs. McFarland!
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Who were the attorneys on the other side! A. Bangs appeared on the record; John Sedgwick is a member of the same firm.
Q. Do you recollect how much was claimed on the bill of damages! A. Forty thousand dollars.
Q. When the prisoner was at your office a few days before the shooting, was there anybody else present! A. I think my young man, Bennett, was out in the front office.
Q. Now, can you tell us any more that you are certain he said at that last interview! A. No.
Re-direct.—Q. In the conversations previous to the suit or after it, did he say anything about his motives in bringing it! A. He said he wanted the thing told right, set right before the public; he said that as to the idea of his accepting money to settle the suit, he could not think of it; he desired me to write and ascertain from every county in the State whether his wife had applied for a divorce.

county in the State whether his work divorce.

Re-cross-examination—Q. Was there ever any talk about settling this suit 1. A. He came in and told me one day that he had received an offer from Mr. Cleveland of \$10,000, and a consulship to Ireland, if he would drop the

suit.
Q. What did he say about it ! A. He said he wouldn't take it. take it.
Q. Did you ever receive an offer of that nature † A. Yes, sir.
Q. When † A. I once had a very general proposition from Mr. Sedgwick.
Q. Did you ever make any offer † A. Never.
LETTER FROM MRS. SAMUEL SINCLAIR TO MRS. N'FARLAND.
At this point Mr. Gerry read the following letter from Mrs. Sinclair to Mrs. McFarland, marked "Exhibit A.:"

Mrs. Sinchiff to Mrs. McFarland, marked "Exhibit A.;"
My Drangar Friend, Mrs. C. read your letter to me this morning and I am almost heart-broken for you. My dear, what are you going it of I Whatever you decide upon, of course your friends—your fraction, will accept. But I do hope you will acc with firmness and dear sim. It seems to me that that one great effort is only a question of the and the somer it is made the better for you and your ethiciren. In who for one moment longer entertain that mortial dear that you are responsible for the life of one who is sure to break you down completely and rule perhaps your children if they continue to live with him. It will

saw him there since, about the 1st of March, 1869.

Q. You remember to have seen him down to what period † A. About November 23, 1869.

Q. Did he come there alone on that day 1 A. No, Sir; he had Percy with him. Q. What was his appearance on that occasion! A. I didn't have a chance to observe it; he talked with Mr. Elwood; I then saw him pass merely, and didn't see how he acted.

the private office; I didn't hear what he said only when he spoke loud.

Q. Do you remember his looking at law books in your office! A. Yes, Sir; he used to make memoranda.
Q. Do you recollect his becoming excited at any time in the office! A. Yes. Sir.
Q. What was his general habits! He had a peculiar twitch in his lip and the muscles of his chin worked, and he would walk up and down, and kind of talk to himself and gesticulate with his hands.
Q. Did you hear what he said! A. I don't recollect; he talked to himself.
Q. Did he, when in the office, talk with you! A. He generally talked with Mr. Elwood; he didn't have any conversations with me.
Q. How often did this walking up and down movement occur! A. I cannot state the exact time, he was in there so often; about once or twice a week he was in the outer office, and generally walked up and down a little! I observed this same manner on each of those occasions.
Q. Every time! A. No, not always; on many occasions. Cross-examined.—Q. You say you saw him walk up and down; did he mutter to himself! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. And his mouth and nose would twitch! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Did he do all these things at once! A. No, Sir, not

Q. Did he do all these things at once! A. No, Sir, not Q. Did he do all these things at once! A. No, Sir, not all at once—a number of times.
Q. You first became acquainted with McFarland in the Summer of 1850! A. Yes, Sir.
Q. Were you absent—out of town—in the Summer! A. For a week.
Q. It may have been a month or so in the Summer that you didn't see him! A. It might—I don't recollect.
Q. Was Mr. Elwood out of town a month in the hot wenther! A. He generally stayed a week or two.
Q. About how many times was he gone during the Summer! A. Two or three times.
Q. Did he use to come there when Mr. Elwood was

Q. Did he use to come there when Mr. Elwood Q. If he was not at home he would go away! A. Yes,

TESTIMONY OF JOHN C. MINER.

The next witness called was Dr. John C. Miner, who testified that he resided at East Twenty-first-st.; was a physician, having graduated seven years ago from the College of Physicians and Surgeons in this city; that he knew Dr. John A. Ward, who was previously examined; had no business connection with him, but was in the same office; had been in that office about 18 months.

Q. Do you know Daniel McFarlandf A. I do.

Q. When did you first see him to make his acquaintance? A. I do n't recollect the exact date. It was over a year ago, I think.

Q. Where was he when you first saw him! A. In the office.

Q. Were was he when you first saw him! A. In the office.

Q. Were you struck with anything you then saw in him! A. I was.

Q. What was it? A. He had a felon on his thumb, which I opened for him. I asked him if it hurt him; he said, "Yes, Doctor, it hurts me, but it is nothing like the pain at my heart." It struck me as a very queer expression.

Q. Was the operation a very painful one? A. Yes, Sir; the went over the whole history.

Q. What was he with you on that occasion? A. Well, I think about an hour; he was in the office that time.

Q. Did he allude to the subject of his family troubles!

A. Yes, Sir; he went over the whole history.

Q. What impression did his conduct and narrative pro-

Q. Did he allude to the subject of his family troubles?
A. Yes, Sir; he went over the whole history.
Q. What impression did his conduct and narrative produce upon you? A. I thought it was very irrationat.
Q. Was he or not in a state of freuzy as you then saw him?
A. Not then; he was subsequently; the first time I saw him in a state of freuzy was at the time he discovered that his wife was in Jersey City somewhere.
Q. When was that! A. About the latter part of August or first of September, I am not certain; he was very excited, more than I ever saw him before; his pulse was very quick.

or first of September, I am not certain; he was very excited, more than I ever saw him before; his pulse was very quiek.

Q. How much! A. About 115.
Q. What was his manner! A. He spoke about his child and his wife being over in Jersey City; that he was too unhappy to live; that he could not rest day or night; that the very thought of his troubles drove him mad; there was no rest for him; that he walked the streets and paced his room by night.

Q. What did you think of him! A. I thought he was in a state of frenzy; I deemed him a monomaniae; I think he had been a monomaniae all along.

Q. What did you prescribe for him at that time! A. I don't think I prescribed for him then; I don't recollect the exact time when I did.

Q. When you did, what did you prescribe! A. I gave him homeopathic remedies; I gave him coffee, for one thing, and nux somica; then I prescribed a preparation of opium, something like morphine.

Q. With what effect! A. It seemed to do no good at all. Ought they to have been effectived. A. I hardly

all.
Q. Ought they to have been efficacious! A. I hardly expected to accomplish much with them; didn't think his was a case where medicine would reach it.
Q. Did you give him any advice on the subject of drinking whisky! A. I did; I advised him when he retired to take a good, stiff horn of whisky, to see if that would not make him sleep.
Q. What did he reply! A. He refused point blank; he knew the effect upon him, and was not going to try it at all.
Q. Did his symptoms increase along! A. I observed a gradual increase in the nervous and muscular excitement.

Q. Did his symptoms increase along? A. I observed a gradual increase in the nervous and muscular excitement.
Q. Did he take out paper and attempt to write before you? A. He did; I don't recollect when that was.
Q. How did it happen? H. He was speaking about the agitation of his hands; that he was unable to control his muscles; he said, "Look here, Doctor, see my writing," and he tried to write his name, and I should never have recognized it as his.
Q. Did he apparently make an honest effort? A. Yes, Sir; it seemed so.
Q. Did he say anything about his dreams? A. Yes, Sir; frequently. I would ask him how he passed the night. He would say, "My God? I passed a horrible night! I could hear my little boy calling me. I saw my wife in Richardson's arms, and I attempted to take the villain by the throat." He would go on in that way for hour after hour. He said it would drive him mad, and that he would gladly lie down and die—would give anything for one hour's rest.
Q. Did you and Dr. Ward come to any conclusion in reference to this man's mind? Yes, Sir.
Q. What was it? Objected to. Excluded.
Q. What do you think of Mr. McFarland? A. I think he was at that time and is now a monomaniac, and I think the result of this would be his death or complete insanity in a short time.
Cross-examined—Q. When did, you first see him? A. I do n't recollect; in March, 1869, some time; his visits were irregular; sometimes he would be in two or three times at day, sometimes two or three times a week.
Q. How many times did you prescribe for him? A. Two or three times only.
Q. What did he do with your medicines? A. Took them away with him.

Two or three times only.

Q. What did he do with your medicines? A. Took them away with him.

A. You don't know whether he took them, then? A. Only he would tell me so when I asked him about their effect.

Only he would teil me so when I asked him about their effect.

Q. Would be talk coherently when describing his symptoms to you! A. Yes, Sir.

Q. What do you think was the matter with him! A. I think he labored under organic disease of the brain.

Q. Is it possible for insanity to exist without the nervous system being affected! A. Yes, Sir.

Re-direct—Mr. Graham—Q. I don't understand you to say that disease of the mind is separable from disease of the brain! A. I think there is organic disease of the hrain where there is insanity.

Q. Did you feel McFarland's pulse on any other occasion than when you found it to be 115! A. I felt it frequently; every time he came into the office he would hold out his hand, and have me feel it; every time it was about 110. LETTER FROM MRS. CALHOUN TO MRS. MCFARLAND.

Mr. Gerry then read the following letter from Mrs. Calhoun to Mrs. McFarland, being Exhibit "H:" MY DARLING: I suppose you must be now bound as I am—and I send a good-securing. Little and Januar pronounced your "Lary Capulet" better than Madamo St, Julie. There is morane for genius. I shall work all day and be ready to help To the province of the pr My fate cries out and informs me that I wish to know him. I am quite

Fariand was not read in full on account of its length, but Mr. Gerry read the latter part commencing with "I hope you will study towards the stage," etc., etc., leaving the rest to be read by the prosecution if they chose:

NO. 77 CLMINOS-PLACE, NEW-YORK. Sunday Evening, 24th June, 1896.

My Dran Mrs. McFarland: Was a good inspiration which led you

Ginsman Trum.
65. J. ace set Stanfield.
67. Mayor &c of the City of New-York agt. The Beach Them-york agt. The Beach Them-man Trumst Co.
63. In the master of the petition of Alex. Phyfe.
68. Oneida Nat. Bank of Utica agt. Stokes and Clark.
70. Smith, excr., agt. Schettler, admit.
71. Rosenblatt agt. Lery.
72. Kipp, reer., agt. Corwin. 54. Erie Railway Co., agt. J. H.

Browne agt. Simpson.
Paine agt. Levy.
Miller agt. National S. S. Co.
Lowerre, excr., agt. Chal-Bank.

74. Kinsey agt. Redfield.

75. Van Hyke agt. Hyatt.

76. Lawless agt. O'Mahoney. 73. Gates agt. Hayes.
16. Thompson agt. Healy.
17. Same agt. Same.
19. Howe agt. Rice.
19. Howe agt. Rice.
19. Doughty agt. Canfield.
126. Day agt. Tibblits.
136. In re Wilkes, to vacate as-161. Lake agt. Spelman.
162. Lake agt. Spelman.
163. Brighan agt. Hymon.
173. Welde agt. Spelman.
174. Welde agt. Spelman.
175. Brange agt. Sackendorf.
176. Brange agt. Staudell.
176. Deserming agt. Marphy.
176. The Many Act, of New York
176. The West Skie Elevat
Patented Rallway.
177. Scarces act. Sprayers. 138. . In re Meeks, to vacate assess

PASSENGERS ARRIVED.

PASSENGERS ARRIVED.

PROM HAMBURG—In steamship Holsstia, April 12.—Dr. Rapalle, II. J. Bang and wife, Alfred Godefroy, H. Schering, G. Colsan, C. Miller, H. Fischer, C. D. Möllenbauer, Dr. N. C. Washington, Otto Friede, Francisca Kisecle and daughter, Gos. Frediger, C. Smith, P. W. Sargent, H. Wunach, Simon Mayer, H. Springer, C. Strauss, G. Friedberger, Sal, Munach and wife, B. Reicheimer, F. Schwind, S. Springer, T. von Eberstein, wife and child, Emelle Dahffuse, C. Lange, M. Speelmane, wife and children, Anton Speelman, Marle Renabach Ottline Rocentranz, and others in 2d cabin and steerage.

PROM LIVERPOOL—M. and Mrs. Power, Mrs. Hall, Master Hall, Mrs. Forlen, Miss Hammond, George Napper, Mr. and Mrs. Russell, Mrs. And Mrs. Cross, Ev. E. C. Robinson, Mr. King, Mr. Green, Mr. Pearse, Mr. Brett, Mr. McMann, M. Hignett, Mr. and Mrs. Gallfraith and child, Mr. and Mrs. Fall, Mr. Jackson, Mrs. Hall, Mrs. Lewis, Miss Selins Lewis.

THESDAY'S WEATHER REPORT—9 a. M.

Places. Almooph'e. Ther. Placea. Almooph'e.
Port Hsulings. Porgr. 43
Halifar. Baining. 48
Augusta, Gia. Clear.
Portland. Baining. 45
Bouton Cloudy. 51
Buffalo. Clear.
New-York. Clear. 57
Philadelphia Clear. 51
Louisville. Clear.
Weshington. Clear. 58
Weshington. Clear. 58
Washington. Clear. 58
Key West. Clear.
Buchason. Clear. 58
Charleston Clear. 62

| MINIATURE ALMANAC. | Sun sets. | 6:37 | Moon sets. | 4:23 | High WATRE THIS DAY. | Sandy Hook. | 5:14 | Gor's Inland. | 6:14 | Hell Gate | 8:05

SHIPPING INTELLIGENCE.

PORT OF NEW-TORK.

CLEARED.

Steamships—Statira, Way, Giagow, &c.; Calabria, McMicken, Liverpool: Charleston, Berry, Charleston: Chester, Jones, Philadeiphia; Volunteer, Jones, Philadeiphia; E. N. Fairchigl, Trout, Philadeiphia; Kerens, Bearse, Boston; Virgo, Bulkier, Saramah, R. Dul, Mckerson, Wilmington, N. C., Albemarie, Roberts, Norfolk, &c.; Thos. Swan, Pitt, South Ambor.

Sahp—Orphens, Smith, Batavia.

Bark—Marco Polo, Minnen, Bremen.

Brigs—Alice, Godet, Falmonth, Jam.; Wilhelmine, Hellers, Matanzas; Mary E. Leighton, Gray, Cardenas; Lena Thurlow, Corbett, Cardenas.

Sohrs.—Petronella, Kmorr, Wank's River, Nic.; Mary S. Lunt, Simona, Grenada; D. Sawyer, Driko, Jarmel; T. G. Smith, Cobb, Cleenfuegow; Frank Treat, Wood, Baracoa; Ben Owen, Oliver, Harbor Island; Joseph Sager, Rills, Chrieston; Jamestown, Bennett, St. Johns, P. R.; Scolyn, Burger, Stamford.

Ekoop—Apolio, Freeman, Uncaswille.

ARRIVED.

Steamship Holsatia, Meier, Hamburg March 30, and Havre April 2 Meaning pass.
Meaning Diss.
Meaning City of London, Tibbitts, Liverpool March 31, via Queensown April 31, index, and pass.
Meaning Erm, Bragg, Liverpool March 30, and Queenstown March
Meaning Diss.

town April 31, indee, and pass.

Stanship Erin, Bragg, Liverpool March 30, and Quoenatown March 30, indee, and pass.
Ship Joven Thomas, Henricksen, Oporto, via Lisbon 46 days, saik, cort, &c.
Brig Union Star, Merriam, Windsor, N. S., 9 days, plaster.
Schr. Barmah, Pettis, Windsor, N. S., 10 days, plaster.
Schr. Hustres, Sprague, Deanysville, lath.
Schr. Darius Eddy, Hopkins, Portland 10 days, lamber.
Schr. Darius Eddy, Hopkins, Portland 10 days, lamber.
Schron, Darius Eddy, Hopkins, Portland 10 days, lamber.
Schrobens-Bryker, AND COASTWISE.
Kerenhappack, Elizabethport.
Maria Rovans, Elizabethport.
Maria Rovans, Elizabethport.
M. R. Carlisle, Elizabethport.
M. R. Carlisle, Elizabethport.
Marion Draper, Elizabethport.
Marion Draper, Elizabethport.
DOMESTIC PORTS.

Boeron, April 12—Arrived, bug Princeton, from Port Johnsons, schr.
MEMORANDA.

port-Wardens' Notice.

PORT-WARDERS' OFFICE, No. 23 Williamest,

NOTICE is hereby given, in accordance with
Section 4 of the Act passed April 14, 1887, entitled "As Act to
Reorganize the Warders' Office of the Port of New York" to all persons
interested in, or having charge of the subject matter of such inquiry,
examination or survey, that the following vessels are now under examination by the Pert-Wardens, and that the said surveys or examinations will
be completed within too, days next an examinations will

Jersey City, Coe's, 4 N. R. Baltic, 47 R. R. Bork Lamplighter
Bark R. Caronae
Bark R. Caronae
Bark R. Caronae
Brig J. D. Lincoln
Brig Saltasa
Brig Senosta
Brig Senosta
Brig Sorf
Brig Clara .. Foot of Bridge st., Br

Sales by Anction.

ADMINISTRATOR'S SALE, GEORGE J.

WRIGHT. Administrator.-GEO. BEIGGS, Auctioneer. will sell at Public Auction, on TUESDAY and WEDNESDAY, April 12 and 13, commencing early day at 11 o'clock sharp, at the residence of Capt. JOHN T. WRIGHT, deceased. Thrugg's Neek, near Port ficialyler, Westchester (2001ty, N. v., all the HOUSEHOLD PERINTURK contained in bouse; also, horses, cows, fow's, sleep, pigs, carriages, wagons and sleight; also, a large collection of silver and silver-plated ware, sale positive rais we shine. Catalogues ready at J. JENKINS'S, 1,401 Broadway, near Forty-first-st.

A. Meawin, Auctioneer,

BY BANGS, MERWIN & Co., Broadway, con.

thet.—Sales of Books, Works of Art, Pasey Goods, Paraliare, &c.

WEDNESDAY, April 13, at 4 p. m.

SALE of a PRIVATE COLLECTION of BOOKS, comprising good English editions in Poetry, the Demas, History, Travels, Piction, &c., also, handsomly bound sets of Periodicals, and a lot of misocilanceas Stationers.

Stationery.

THURSDAY and FRIDAY, April 14 and 15, at 4 p. m.:

VALUABLE LAW BOOKS—Including a consignment of the most desirable ENGLISH REPORTS, all in good condition, and a great variety of AMERICAN REPORTS, Translers, Statutes, &c., beside a few Miscellancous Books, Legal Biographies, &c.

BOOK TRADE SALE ROOMS, CLINTON HALL, Astor-place and Eighth-st. LERGVITT, STREEBEIGH & Co. CONSIGNMENTS SOLICITED. LIBRARIES CATALOGUED AND SOLD.

THE SALE OF THE SEASON.

es, and entire
PRIVATE COLLECTION OF PAINTINGS OF

## J. P. BEAUMONT,

MOST VALUABLE SALE OF

To Whom it man Contern.

BONDS STOLEN.

On FRIDAY last, the 1st inst.,
TWELVE \$1,000 BONDS
of the

NEW-HAVEN AND NOETHAMPTON COMPANY
Were stolen from the office of the subscriber in this city. These Boods
are dated April 1, 1870, payable in 10 years from date, with sax per cest
interest compons attached, payable the 1st April and 1st October, and ass
numbered from 60 to 61 inclusive.
All persons are cautioned against boying or negotiating these Bonds, as
payment of the same will be refused by the Company.

R. B. PARDER,
Treasner of the New-Haven and Northampton Company.
New-Haven, April 5, 1870.

Marble and Slate Mantels.

DECORATED MARBLE MANTELS, Wainscoting, Furnitare Tops, &c., is representation of all foreign marble,
from \$15 to \$1,000; rich patterns black engraved in gold. MIDDLE
FIELD Co., 1,269 Broadway; Branch Wareroom, 427 Fulton-st. Brooklya.

ENAMELED SLATE MANTELS.—Plain,
Marbleized, and Decorated, at prices from \$10 to \$30 and upward.
Also, Slate work of every description, plain and ornamental.

PENRHYN SLATE Co., No. 40 West Eighteenfost,
Between First and Sixth-avea.

MARBLEIZED SLATE MANTELS.—Rich and elegant designs, from \$9, \$12, \$13, up to \$250. T. B STEWART & Co., 605 Sixth-ave., bet. Thirty-fith and Thirty-sixth-air

WM. EVERDELLI'S SONS, 104 Fulton-st.—
MANUFACTURERS. AND JOB PRINTERS and LABRE

COLTON DENTAL ASSOCIATION originated the angesthetic use of ultrons or de gas, administer it is the most approved manner, do nothing but ENTRACT TEETH, as WITHOUT PAIN—so 20,000 patients teatiff. See their nat office, No. 19 Cooper Institute.

REMOVAL.—C. Goepp, Attorney-at-law, has removed to Bang's Building, No. 221. Broadway, New-York. Has practiced in the State of Pennsylvania since 1948, in New-York since 1853. Corresponds in English, French and German with equal fluency.

BRANDYWINE MILLS.—The undersigned, having the entire agency of Measrs. Jas. E. Price & Co. & Mills at Brandywine. Delaware, have on sale their "CURRITUCK" and other brands Flour; also, their celebrated kini-dried Com Meal in punchessa, barrels, and half-barrels, ISAAC H. REED & Co., 5 State of the comment BBUSHES of EVERY DESCRIPTION at No.

229 Pourlet, Harper's Building, N. Y. All articles at the lowest factory prices. DRAIN PIPE and DRAIN TILE—For drainage of houses, stables and manufactories; for conveying waters to fountains, fish-ponds, trigation purposes, and for the thorough under drainage of land. Send for circulars to UNION DRAIN PIPS Co., CR West Fourteenth-st., New York.

Internal Revenne.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, 5TH DISTRICT, N. Y., New YORK, Apl. 5, 1870. }

NOTICE is hereby given that, for refusal to pay internal Revenue taxes by McIntire and McBrien, I have distrained upon one large from SAPES-filering's make, and shall proceed to SELL the same at public suction, as No. 272 Bowers, 2d foor, on the 15th day of April, 1870, at 11 o'clock a. m.

JNO. McHARG, Collector.

Proposals. DROVIDENCE WATER WORKS.

NOVIDENCE WATER WORKS.

Scaled Proposals will be received at the office of the Boxel of Water Commissioners, Wood's Building, City of Providence, until 11 o'clock a. m. of TUESDAY, the 12th day of April, 1870, for the CONSTRUCTION of a RESERVORD on SOCKANOSS-AT HILL in CRANSTON.

The Reservoir is to be first class work, of nearly triangular form, about thousand feet long, and eight hundred and sixty feet wide, at the base, and nineteen feet deep, with inlet and outlet chambers, and connecting countil.

Providence, Peb. 24, 1870.

J. B. & W. W. CORNELL,

IRON WORKS.

Novelty Iron Works

assortment of machinery, lather, tools, &c., suitable for making

base, and nineteen fees ocep, while have inceeding conductions on the meeting combined.

Plants may be seen, and specifications, and forms and conditions of one tracts and proposals, obtained at the said Commissioners' office.

M. B. LOCKWOOD,
CHARLES C. CARPENTER,
JOSEPH J. COOKE,
J. HERISERT SHEDD, Chief Engineer. Iron Works.

All kinds of cast and wrought iron work for building.
Counting-House and Wannoons,
139 AND 143 CENTRE-82.

cogluce, as well as tools for all kinds of machine work.

KLABER & Co., formerly 52 First-ave., now at 21 to 223 West Ffity-first-st., bet. Broadway and Exhibitor. Marble and Marbleined Marbleis, Headstones, Monuments, Tiles, latest designs; cheapest in this city. Printing.

Wedding Cards, &c. AT WM. EVERDELL'S SONS, No. 104 Ful-tion-st.-WEDDING CARDS and FINE STATIONERY. (Batab-lished 1815.)

Dentistrn.

Remonals.

Miscellaneons.

SALERATUS, SUPER-CARB. SODA. &c., No. 11 Old-slip, New York.

JOHN DWIGHT & Co.,

Assessor's Office, Rights, Collection Dutkiet,
New-York, Rightsenth, Twenthern, Twenthern, Wards,
New-York, Rightsenth, Twenthern, Twenthern Wards,
No. 386 Broadwar, April II, 1870.

NOTICE is hereby given, in accordance with
the provisions of Section 19 of the act of June 20, 1864, entitled
"An act to provide internal retrease to support the Government, to pay
interest on the public debt, and for other purposes," as mended by acts
of July 13, 1806, and March 2, 1807, that on the 23td day of April, 1870,
the annual list for this District will be open for inspection of any and all
persons who may apply for that purpose at the office of the Assessor, Assessor,
See Broadway, and that for five days thereafter the Assessor of and District will be in attendance, between the hours of 9 a. m. and 4 p. m. of the
same days, to hear and determine appeals relative to any crossous or excessive valuations, assessments, or commerciations by the Assessor and Bathat no appeal will be allowed to any party after be shall have been daily
assessed, and the annual intercontaining the assessment has been transmitted to the Collector of the District.

All appeals to said Assessor, as foresaid, must be made in writing,
and specify the particular came, inforesaid, must be made in writing,
and appeally the particular came, inforesaid, must be made in writing,
and appeally the particular came, inforesaid, must be made in writing,
and appeally the particular came, inforesaid in the properting which a decistion is requested, and must state the ground or principle of error complained of.

United Strates Experience Assessor Eighth District, New York,
Collector of Office, 5th District, N. Y. New York, Ap. 5, 1870, \$